



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

119-2

CR-7787-2023

Decided on:04.12.2024

Surinder Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

Jagdish Raj

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: None for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. On 21.12.2023, a Coordinate Bench of this Court was pleased to pass the following order:-

*“Present: Ms. Parul, Advocate
for the petitioner.*

Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks an adjournment for placing some documents, which she considers to be relevant and necessary for the purpose of assisting the Court at the preliminary stage, on the record.

Adjourned to 07.03.2024.

To be listed along-with the connected matter bearing CR No.7785 of 2023

21.12.2023”

2. Thereafter, inspite of 4 adjournments, the said order has not been complied with.

3. On 19.07.2024, the matter was called twice but none had appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

CR-7787-2023

-2-

4. Similary on 10.09.2024 as well as on the last date i.e. 03.12.2024, none had appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

5. Today also, none has appeared on behalf of the petitioner to inform the Court as to whether any cause survives in the present petition.

6. Dismissed for non-prosecution.

7. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to revive the petition, in case, any cause of action survives.

8. In case, the petitioner moves any such application, all the zimni order subsequent to passing of the impugned order be also annexed and a specific averment be made as to whether the impugned order regarding the mesne profit has been complied with or not.

04.12.2024

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Whether reportable?

(VIKAS BAHL)

JUDGE

Yes/~~No~~

~~Yes~~/No