



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

119

CR-7785-2023

Decided on:04.12.2024

Ravi Kumar

... Petitioner

Versus

Jagdish Raj

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: None for the petitioner.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. On 21.12.2023, a Coordinate Bench of this Court was pleased
to pass the following order:-

*“Present: Ms. Parul, Advocate
for the petitioner.*

*Learned counsel for the petitioner seeks
an adjournment for placing some documents, which
she considers to be relevant and necessary for the
purpose of assisting the Court at the preliminary stage,
on the record.*

Adjourned to 07.03.2024.

21.12.2023”

2. Thereafter, inspite of 4 adjournments, the said order has not
been complied with.

3. On 19.07.2024, the matter was called twice but none had
appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

4. Similary on 10.09.2024 as well as on the last date i.e.
03.12.2024, none had appeared on behalf of the petitioner.



CR-7785-2023

-2-

5. Today also, none has appeared on behalf of the petitioner to inform the Court as to whether any cause survives in the present petition.
6. Dismissed for non-prosecution.
7. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to revive the petition, in case, any cause of action survives.
8. In case, the petitioner moves any such application, all the zimni order subsequent to passing of the impugned order be also annexed and a specific averment be made as to whether the impugned order regarding the mesne profit has been complied with or not.

04.12.2024

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE
Yes/~~No~~
~~Yes~~/No