



CRWP-11591-2024

-1-

**111 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-11591-2024

Date of Decision: 02.12.2024

Akansha and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Naveen Sharma, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners have filed the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of direction to respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect life and personal liberty of the petitioners, protecting from respondent No.4 and his family members/relatives, as enshrined under Articles 19 and 21 of the Constitution of India and further directing respondent No.4 and his family members/relatives not to interfere in the matrimonial life of the petitioners.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondent no.4 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondent no.4. Their marriage photographs as well as marriage certificate evidencing their marriage are on record as Annexures P-5 and P-6. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 25.11.2024 (Annexure P-7) to respondent No.2.

3. Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

4. Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. AG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the State.

5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent no.2-Superintendent of Police, Mahendergarh at Narnaul, District Mahendergarh, to decide the representation (Annexure P-7) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived.

6. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

02.12.2024			(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
sharmila			JUDGE
	Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
	Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No