

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

C.W.P. No. 29017 of 2023
Date of decision: 22.02.2024

Raj Singh **Petitioner**
Vs.
Haryanan Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran **Respondent**

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL

Present: Ms. Aerika Singh, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate for the respondent.

ARUN PALLI, J (Oral)

At the outset, learned counsel for the respondent submits that a conscious decision has been arrived at by the respondent authorities that the original site (Booth No.17, Sector 62, Gurugram) that was proposed to be allotted to the petitioner, would be handed over to him by the next day after he applies for it online. He has produced copy of the communication dated 19.02.2024, which is retained on record as “Mark-X”. He further submits that insofar as the grievance of the petitioner that he is even entitled to interest on the amount remitted by him, owing to the late delivery of possession, the petitioner shall be at liberty to move the respondent authorities by filing a representation in this regard, which shall be considered and dealt with in accordance with law.

That being so, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that nothing substantive survives in this petition as the petitioner is ready/willing to accept the site that has been offered. And, as indicated by learned counsel for the respondent, he will apply online for obtaining its actual physical possession.

In the wake of the position sketched out above, this petition is accordingly disposed of in terms of the statement made by learned counsel for the parties.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

22.02.2024
deepak

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No