

[235] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-12358-2023 (O&M)
Date of Decision : 05.01.2024

Arti Devi and another ...Petitioners
versus
State of Haryana and othersRespondents

Coram : HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present : Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.
Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

[1] Reply by way of affidavit of Shri Abhilaksh Joshi, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Jagadhri (Yamuna Nagar) filed on behalf of the respondents-State.

[2] The two petitioners, claiming to be living in live in relationship and facing threat to their life and liberty from private respondents, approached this Court to provide them protection by issuing a writ in the nature of mandamus to the official respondents.

[3] It was noticed by this Court on 21.12.2023 that as per the admitted case, petitioner No.1-Arti Devi is a minor being age 17 years and therefore said petitioner was required to be sent to the place of safety. As it was informed by the learned State Counsel that the petitioners were not residing at the address given in the petition, so petitioners were directed to disclose their correct address.

[4] Today, it is informed by ASI Ram Samukh, the I.O. present in the Court, that he visited the address given in the petition and that petitioners were not residing therein. Petitioners have not provided their

[5] Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he is not in contact with the petitioners.

[6] On the other hand, learned State Counsel, on the basis of reply filed today, submits that petitioner No.1 has been kidnapped by petitioner No.2 since 18.12.2023 and that FIR No.524 dated 21.12.2023 under Sections 363 and 366 IPC has already been registered at Police Station, Gandhi Nagar, District Yamuna Nagar on the complaint of Guddi Devi, the mother of the victim.

[7] In all these circumstances, when learned counsel for the petitioners is not in contact with the petitioners; and petitioners are not ready to provide their correct address, for sending petitioner No.1, a minor, to the place of safety, no direction from this Court is called for.

[8] Dismissed.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

05.01.2024
'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No