

CRM-M-64439-2023

-1-

2024:PHHC:063576



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

222

CRM-M-64439-2023

Date of Decision: 07.05.2024

Lovepreet Singh

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present: - Mr. J.S. Lalli, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Aakanksha Gupta, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Manis Verma, Advocate for respondent No. 2.

NIDHI GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner who is the husband of respondent No. 2-complainant have filed the instant petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C., for quashing of FIR No. 0188 dated 28.09.2022 (Annexure P-2) registered under Sections 406 ad 498-A IPC at Police Station Tibba, Ludhiana and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 22.11.2023 (Annexure P-3) effected between the parties. It is pertinent to mention herein that the petitioner-husband is presently residing in USA, therefore, he has filed the present petition through his father, namely, Sh. Kuldeep Singh/SPA holder.

Pursuant to the order dated 21.12.2023 passed by a co-ordinate Bench of this Court, the parties have appeared before the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Ludhiana, to get their statements recorded. Learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Ludhiana, has submitted



her report along with statements of the parties vide letter dated 19.02.2024 duly forwarded by the learned District and Sessions Judge, Ludhiana.

A perusal of the above said report would show that the petitioner and respondent No. 2 have appeared and suffered statements with respect to the compromise which have been found to be *bona-fide* and without any pressure or coercion; and the compromise effected between the parties appears to be genuine, without any threat or coercion and out of free will of the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, *inter alia*, submits that the present FIR emanates from the matrimonial dispute between the parties. It is submitted that marriage of the petitioner with complainant/ respondent No.2 was solemnized on 24.05.2021 and no child was born out of the said wedlock. Due to temperamental differences, the parties could not cohabit together and started residing separately since June, 2022. Now better sense has prevailed between the parties and in order to live peacefully, parties have entered into compromise dated 22.11.2023 (Annexure P-3), according to which both the parties have agreed not to proceed further with the FIR in question. The petitioner has also paid an amount of Rs.8,00,000/- to respondent No. 2-wife towards full and final settlement. It is stated that a joint petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, for divorce by way of mutual consent has also been filed before the Family Court, concerned, wherein first and second motion statements of the parties have already been recorded and now, the case is fixed for 14.05.2024 for orders. Further, it is submitted



that petitioner is the only accused in the present case and he has never been declared as proclaimed offender.

Learned State counsel has stated that he has 'no objection' in case the FIR is quashed on the basis of compromise qua the petitioner.

This Court has heard the learned counsel for the parties and has perused the file.

After perusing the report submitted by the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Ludhiana, this Court finds that the matter has been amicably settled between the petitioner and respondent No. 2. Since the matter has been settled and the parties have decided to live in peace, this Court feels that in order to secure the ends of justice, the criminal proceedings deserve to be quashed.

As per the Full Bench judgment of this Court in ***"Kulwinder Singh and others Vs State of Punjab", 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, it is held that High Court has power under Section 482 Cr.P.C. to allow the compounding of non-compoundable offence and quash the proceedings where the High Court is of the opinion that the same is required to prevent the abuse of the process of law or otherwise to secure the ends of justice. This power of quashing is not confined to matrimonial disputes alone.

Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of ***"Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another", 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543***, had also observed that in order to secure the ends of justice or to prevent the abuse of process of Court, inherent power can be used by this Court to quash criminal proceedings in which a compromise has been effected. The



relevant portion of para 57 of the said judgment is reproduced here-in-
below:-

“57. The position that emerges from the above discussion can be summarised thus: the power of the High Court in quashing a criminal proceeding or FIR or complaint in exercise of its inherent jurisdiction is distinct and different from the power given to a criminal court for compounding the offences under Section 320 of the Code. Inherent power is of wide plenitude with no statutory limitation but it has to be exercised in accord with the guideline engrafted in such power viz; (i) to secure the ends of justice or (ii) to prevent abuse of the process of any Court.”

In view of what has been discussed here-in-above, this petition is allowed and FIR No. 0188 dated 28.09.2022 (Annexure P-2) registered under Sections 406 ad 498-A IPC at Police Station Tibba, Ludhiana and all the consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 22.11.2023 (Annexure P-3) effected between the parties, are ordered to be quashed qua the petitioner.

07.05.2024
rishu

(NIDHI GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No