105 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-12383-2023
Date of Decision: 04.01.2024

Jarnail Singh .....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and ors.

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Krishan Kanha, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

****

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)

1. By way of present petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, prayer has been made for issuance of direction to official respondents to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner as well as his family members at the hands of private respondents No.4 to 8.

2. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that instead of pressing the present petition on merits, his client would be satisfied in case direction is issued to the official respondents to decide the representation dated 30.11.2023 (Annexure P-8) moved at the instance of petitioner by passing a speaking order expeditiously.

3. Prayer seems to be justified.

4. Upon advance notice, Mr. Sanish Girdhar, AAG, Punjab appears on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 and raises no objection to the innocuous prayer made on behalf of the petitioner.

SONIKA
2024.01.05 11:27
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document

5. In view of the agreed stand taken by both sides, but without going into the merits of the controversy, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Moga to look into the representation dated 30.11.2023 (Annexure P-8) and decide the same in accordance with law by passing a speaking order preferably within a period of 08 (eight) weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order.

04.01.2024 **(HARKESH MANUJA)**
sonika **JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: yes/no
Whether reportable? yes/no

SONIKA
2024.01.05 11:27
I attest to the accuracy and
authenticity of this document