

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.64468 of 2023
Date of decision: 18th March, 2024

Jai Karan

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Ankit Rana, Advocates for the petitioner.

Ms. Trishanjali Sharma, Dy. Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent/State.

Mr. Gurveer S. Sidhu, Advocate for the complainant.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.260 dated 19.07.2023 under Sections 406 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Mullana, District Ambala.

2. On the last date of hearing, i.e. 22.12.2023, while noticing the following submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked him to join investigation.

“Learned counsel for the petitioner, inter alia, contends that it is essentially a civil dispute, which has been given a criminal complexion. Allegedly, the petitioner had not paid rent of the boring machine to the complainant and had thus, misappropriated the machine. Learned

counsel submits that totally exaggerated allegations have been levelled in the FIR. It has been further submitted that the petitioner is willing to join investigation.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 22.12.2023, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In the circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 22.12.2023 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Needless to say, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to him, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to him.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

March 18, 2024

rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No