

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

214

CRM-M-64437-2023  
Date of decision: 29.02.2024

TEG SINGH

....Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Ms. Lapita Kashyap, Advocate  
Mr. Namit Khurana, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

Mr. Abhinash Jain, DAG, Haryana.

KULDEEP TIWARI. J.(Oral)

1. On the last date of hearing i.e on 21.12.2023, the following order was passed:-

*"Through the instant petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., prayer is made for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.38 dated 16.11.2023, under Section 61 of the Excise Act (Haryana) 2020 and under Section 120-B IPC, registered at Police Station HSENB Ambala, District Ambala.*

*The allegations against the petitioner, as unfold form the FIR, are that the co-accused of the petitioner was arrested by the police on the spot, having in possession of 1 box of country made liquor containing 21 bottles of country made liquor, 10 half bottles of country made liquor and 16 quarter bottles of country made liquor marka Rasila Malta. The petitioner's name was figured on the disclosure statement of the co-accused.*

*Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that except the disclosure statement, there is no other evidence against the petitioner*

*to connect him with the present crime and he is not involved in any other criminal case.*

*Notice of motion.*

*On the asking of the Court, Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana - accepts notice on behalf of the State.*

*Adjourned to 29.2.2024.*

*In the meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall, however, join the investigation as and when called for and shall also abide by the conditions specified under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C."*

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in pursuance of the order dated 21.12.2023, the petitioner had joined the investigation.
3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Vinod Kumar, submits that the petitioner had joined the investigation and he is not required for any further custodial interrogation.
4. In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, the interim order dated 21.12.2023, is hereby made absolute.

29.02.2024  
amandeep

(KULDEEP TIWARI)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned. : Yes/No

Whether Reportable. : Yes/No