



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(136) CWP No.32141 of 2024
Date of Decision : 28.11.2024

Majer Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Dr. Puneet Kaur Sekhon, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that without disclosing any reason, the petitioner has been suspended vide impugned order dated 13.11.2024 (Annexure P-2) and even after expiry of two weeks, no disciplinary proceedings have been initiated. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the order suspending the petitioner is arbitrary, illegal and without any valid justification and is liable to be set aside.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that the petitioner has already submitted a representation dated 18.11.2024 (Annexure P-3) to the Secretary, Department of Rural Development that there is no justification with regard to the order of suspension and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case, respondent No.1 is directed to decide the representation dated 18.11.2024 (Annexure P-3) in a time bound manner so that the petitioner does not suffer any prejudice.



3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Sr. DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case, the representation dated 18.11.2024 (Annexure P-3) has been received in the office of the concerned authorities and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of ten days from the date of the receipt of certified copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order. Learned counsel further submits that in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioner, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned for not accepting the claim of the petitioner in the speaking order to be passed and the said order will be duly conveyed to the petitioner.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of learned State counsel, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

November 28, 2024

Anu

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No