

2024 PHHC:180724



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-32073 of 2024
Date of decision: 03.12.2024

Sudesh
.....Petitioner
Versus

Punjab State Power Corporation Limited and another
.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR


Present: - Mr. Mohit Jaggi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. K.S. Kharbanda, Advocate,
for the respondents.

NAMIT KUMAR, J. (ORAL)

1. Instant petition has been filed for setting aside the observations made in column No.17 i.e. Whether the PTW is found suitable for the job? (As per clause 2A(v) of the Policy) wherein it has wrongly been mentioned as 'No' and observations made in column No.19 i.e. 'Reasons if PTW not found suitable for the regularisation of service' reason mentioned is that 'deceased died on 19.02.2023' against the name of husband of the petitioner mentioned at serial No.36 of list of 312 part time Sweepers (Annexure P-15) issued in pursuance to order dated 07.02.2024 (Annexure P-14).
2. On the last date of hearing i.e. 28.11.2024, following order was passed: -

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that husband of the petitioner was fully eligible to be regularised in pursuance to the directions issued by this Court and his case for regularisation has also been considered and he was found to be suitable for regularisation, but he has not been regularised only on the ground that he has died. He has made reference to page



120 of the paperbook which is the list of 312 employees whose services were resolved to be regularised. Prima facie, the stand of the respondents appears to be totally illegal and contemptuous.

On receipt of advance copy of the writ petition, Mr. K.S. Kharbanda, Advocate, appears on behalf of the respondents and seeks adjournment to get instructions from the department.

Adjourned to 3.12.2024.”

3. Learned counsel for the respondents, on instructions from ASE, Er. Rajni Sumra, Millerganj Division, PSPCL, Ludhiana, submits that the claim of the husband of the petitioner for notional regularisation and compassionate appointment of the petitioner shall be reconsidered.

4. In view of the above, without commenting on the merits of the case, present petition is disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to submit a detailed representation to the respondents within a period of two weeks from today by supplementing the representation dated 25.09.2023 (Annexure P-17) and the same shall be considered and disposed of by respondent No.2 within a period of one month from the date of receipt of the said representation.

03.12.2024
R.S.

(NAMIT KUMAR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No