

CRA-S-3877-2023

DECIDED ON: 16.01.2024

MAMTA

....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANR.

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. V.D. Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

The present appeal has been preferred against the judgment dated 04.12.2023, passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Jind vide which pre-arrest bail of the appellant has been declined.

Before the learned counsel for the petitioner could make submissions, learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Rajesh submits that a cancellation report has been prepared, which is likely to be presented before the trial Court within a period of two weeks from today and, therefore, arrest of the petitioner is not required.

In the light of above, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

In case, statement made by learned State counsel is found to be incorrect or any change of circumstance so required, the petitioner will be at liberty to approach this Court afresh on filing of appeal on the same cause of action.

16.01.2024

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)

JUDGE

Poonam Negi

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No