

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.64530 of 2023
Date of decision: 15th March, 2024

Sukhdeep Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Kartik Khicher, Advocate for
Mr. Sudhir Rana, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent/State.
Mr. Vishal Thakur, Advocate for respondents No.2 to 5.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing FIR No.405 dated 18.12.2019 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 406, 420, 506, 120-B of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 and Section 24 of the Immigration Act, 1983, registered at Police Station Tarori, District Karnal along with all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 27.12.2022 (Annexure P-2) effected between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 16.01.2024 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Addl. District & Sessions Judge, Karnal, in pursuance of the direction of this Court,

wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report, compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR qua the accused-petitioner is quashed.

4. The trial Court has annexed a copy of the statements of the parties, along with its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioner and respondents No.2 to 5 are the only aggrieved persons in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Addl. District & Sessions Judge, Karnal and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in '**Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others**' (2012) 10 SCC 303, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in '**Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**' 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it qua the petitioner, are quashed.

7. Needless to say, the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

March 15, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No