

288

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-64386-2023

Date of decision: 14.02.2024

Yunish @ Unis

...Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present: Mr. J.P. Sharma, Advocate  
for the petitioner

Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, AAG, Haryana.

\*\*\*\*

PANKAJ JAIN, J.(ORAL)

1. Status report by way of affidavit of Mohinder Singh, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Narnaul, District Mahendergarh has been filed on behalf of respondent-State of Haryana. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof be supplied to the opposite counsel.
2. While issuing notice of motion on 05.01.2024, the following order was passed:-

*“Challenge is to the order dated 15.04.2020 whereby the bail bonds of the petitioner have been ordered to be cancelled.*

*Counsel for the petitioner submits that the absence on the part of the petitioner was owing to Covid-19 and in fact the petitioner has been appearing in another matter in session trial and there was no reason for him to evade proceedings.*

*Notice of motion for 14.02.2024.*

*Mr. Gaurav Bansal, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.*

*Petitioner is directed to appear before concerned Court on or before 12.01.2024.*

*In the meantime, operation of the impugned order 15.04.2020 (Annexure P-7) shall kept in*

*abeyance.”*

3.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has produced order dated 10.01.2024 to show that the petitioner appeared before the trial Court in compliance of the directions issued by this Court and has furnished bail bonds afresh. He further submits that the petitioner undertakes to appear before the trial court regularly.
4.

In view of the above, no further order is warranted.
5.

The petition is disposed of accordingly.

(PANKAJ JAIN)  
JUDGE

14.02.2024

|        |                            |        |
|--------|----------------------------|--------|
| neeraj | Whether reasoned/speaking? | Yes/No |
|        | Whether reportable?        | Yes/No |