



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

232

CRM-M No.60410 of 2024
Date of Decision: 20.12.2024

Paramjeet Kaur ... Petitioner
Versus
State of U.T. Chandigarh ... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present: Mr. Ramandeep Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, P.P., U.T. Chandigarh
for the respondent.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The instant one is the second petition for grant of grant bail as filed by the petitioner in case arising out of FIR No.460 dated 16.12.2023 registered under Sections 420, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC at Police Station Sector-36, District Chandigarh. The first petition as filed by her had been dismissed vide order dated 02.07.2024.
2. As per the allegations, the petitioner by impersonating herself as one Gurpreet Kaur and by using fake Aadhar Card stood as surety in case arising out of FIR No.91 of 2022 registered at Police Station Sector 17, Chandigarh. The co-accused Sushila identified her as Smt. Gurpreet Kaur. She was arrested on 09.02.2024. The co-accused Nirmala Rani qua whom there were allegations that she instigated the present petitioner to stand surety, has since been extended benefit of bail.

2024:PHHC:171989



On the ground of parity and due to the extended period of incarceration, the petitioner is seeking benefit of bail.

3. Status report has been filed by the learned Public Prosecutor, U.T. Chandigarh. It is submitted by learned Public Prosecutor, U.T. Chandigarh that there are chances of petitioner’s committing similar offences if extended benefit of bail and, therefore, it is urged that she does not deserve to be released on bail particularly when there is no material change in the circumstances.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties at considerable length and have gone through the record.

5. The petitioner is in custody since 09.02.2024. A period of about six months has expired from the date of dismissal of the previous petition. The co-accused has since been extended benefit of bail. The said offences are triable by Magistrate. Taking all these circumstances into consideration, the petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be admitted to bail subject to her furnishing personal as well as surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned Duty Magistrate/trial Magistrate.

6. It is, however, clarified that observations made hereinabove shall not be construed as an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

20.12.2024
manju

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No