



260

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-60114-2024

Date of Decision: 17.12.2024

Gurpreet Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Karandeep Singh, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Nitesh Sharma, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Harmanbir Singh Sandhu, Advocate
for respondent No.2-complainant.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 of Cr.PC seeking quashing of FIR No.38 dated 12.03.2024 under Sections 498-A/406/417 of IPC registered at Police Station Sidhwan Bet, District Ludhiana Rural (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise in the shape of affidavit dated 16.08.2024 (Annexure P-2) and panchayati compromise dated 16.08.2024 (Annexure P-3).

2. The following order was passed on 02.12.2024:-

“This petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.0038 dated 12.03.2024 under Sections 498-A/406/417 of IPC registered at Police Station Sidhwan Bet, District Ludhiana Rural (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise in the shape of affidavit dated 16.08.2024 (Annexure P-2) and panchayati compromise dated 16.08.2024 (Annexure P-3).

Notice of motion for 17.12.2024.

At this stage, on the asking of the Court, Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and Mr. Harman Bir S. Sandhu, Advocate accepts notice for respondent No.2 and files his power of attorney and

admits to the factum of compromise. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.

Service is complete.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within one week from today or any other date convenient to the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed.

A copy of the order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. **In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon’ble Supreme Court in *Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834 and Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63 and Full Bench of this Court in Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052, this petition is allowed and FIR No.38 dated 12.03.2024 under Sections 498-A/406/417 of IPC registered at Police Station Sidhwan Bet, District Ludhiana Rural (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioners.***

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

17.12.2024
Parveen kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable :Yes/No