



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

114

CRWP-11450-2024(O&M)
Date of Decision: 27.11.2024

SAZIYA AND ANRPetitioners
VERSUS
UT OF CHANDIGARH AND ORSRespondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present : Mr. Rahul Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Anil Kumar Kandharia, Addl. P.P. U.T., Chandigarh.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of writ in the nature of Mandamus for directing the respondent no.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and to ensure safety of the person and property of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents no.4 to 8 and take action on their representation dated 25.11.2024 (Annexure P-6).
2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners have recently filed a representation on 25.11.2024 before the Superintendent of Police, Chandigarh (Annexure P-6) raising their grievances as mentioned in the present petition but the same has not yet been considered and no action has been taken thereon. He further submitted that at this stage, the petitioners would be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to respondent No.2- Superintendent of Police,



Chandigarh to decide the aforesaid representation filed by the petitioners and take necessary action thereon.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Anil Kumar Kandharia, Addl. P.P. U.T., Chandigarh who is present in Court, has accepted the notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that the needful will be done in due course. Let a complete set of the paperbook be supplied to him during the course of the day.

5. In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioners, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No. 2 to decide the aforesaid representation dated 25.11.2024 (Annexure P-6) of the petitioners within a period of 15 days from today, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law. Needless to say, if any threat is found to the petitioners, the official respondents would provide them adequate security in order to protect their life and liberty.

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

27.11.2024

Deepak Patwal

1. *Whether speaking/reasoned* : Yes/No
2. *Whether reportable* : Yes/No