



136

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH****COC-4785-2024****Date of Decision: 20.12.2024****GURNEET SINGH AND ANR****....Petitioner(s)****VERSUS****GAUTAM JAIN****....Respondent(s)****CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA**

Present: Mr. Rahul Sharma-I, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. A.D.S. Sukhija, Advocate for the respondent.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (Oral)

Short reply by way of affidavit of Gautam Jain IAS, Commissioner, Municipal Corporation Jalandhar along with speaking order, has been filed by learned counsel for the respondent, in terms of decision passed by the Writ Court.

In view thereof, no further orders are required to be passed.

The petition stands disposed of.

Rule stands discharged.

However, in case the needful is not done within the afore-stated period, as per undertaking, the petitioners would be at liberty to seek revival of the present contempt petition and in that eventuality, the erring /concerned officer(s) would be liable to pay additional sum of Rs.50,000/- as costs from his/her own pocket in favour of the petitioners towards litigation expenses, immediately, i.e. on the first date of listing of revival application.

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

December 20, 2024

Sangeeta

Whether reasoned/speaking:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No