

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-29438-2023

Date of decision: 25.01.2024

Seema Devi

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. Divyam Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Jindal, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Advocate,
Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate,
Mr. Shivam Garg, Advocate,
for respondents No.2 and 3.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the parties have drawn the attention of this Court to the order dated 04.10.2023 (P-44), passed by the Managing Director, Haryana State Industrial & Infrastructure Development Corporation. And the operative part whereof, reads as thus:-

“In view of the above, the request of the petitioner to waive of interest on balance 75% payment is hereby declined and the Estate Manager, Karnal is directed to provide the detailed calculation to the petitioner after adjustment of amount already paid by the petitioner and thereafter, the petitioner be allowed to clear entire outstanding dues within 30 days. Regarding the request for issuance of occupation certificate, the DTP, IE, Karnal, is directed to issue occupation certificate if the building

is found technically fit for occupation from planning point of view as per the Haryana Building Code 2017. The release of sewer connection being a subsequent activity to OC and the same will be provided as and when applied by allottee/petitioner.”

Learned counsel for the respondent-Corporation submits that details as regards calculations, after necessary adjustments of the amount already deposited by the petitioner, shall be furnished to her within two weeks from today. Whereafter, the petitioner shall have 30 days to deposit all the outstanding dues. Similarly, if the petitioner moves the competent authority, with a formal application for issuance of occupation certificate, the necessary orders thereon shall be passed within a period of four weeks from the receipt of the said application.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is agreeable to the course suggested by learned counsel for the respondent-Corporation. Accordingly, it is urged that nothing substantive survives in the petition and the same be disposed of, in the above terms.

Ordered accordingly.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

25.01.2024
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No