

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.28859-2023(O&M)

Reserved On: 05.03.2024

Date of Decision: 19.03.2024

Shree Baba Burarik Mandir Samiti

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE LALIT BATRA

Argued by: Ms. Sumitra, Advocate for
Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner(s)

Mr. Anupam Gupta, Senior Advocate (Amicus Curiae) with
Mr. Sukhpal Singh, Advocate.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. A.G., Haryana with
Mr. Pardeep Prakash Chahar, Sr. DAG, Haryana,
Mr. Saurabh Mago, DAG, Haryana,
Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate and
Mr. Shivam Garg, Advocate.

Mr. B.S.Bairagi, Advocate
for respondents No.5 to 12.

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J.

CM NO.3201-2024

Since the applicants are just and necessary parties for making an adjudication upon the instant writ petition. Therefore, the applicants are arrayed as respondents No.5 to 12 in the array of co-respondents in the memo of parties.

Accordingly, the application stands allowed. Amended memo of parties is taken on record. Registry is directed to tag the same at the appropriate place.

MAIN CASE

For orders, see detailed judgement of even date, passed in *CWP No.13864-2012*, titled *Sushil Kumar and others Vs. State of Haryana and others*.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

(LALIT BATRA)
JUDGE

March 19, 2024
raman

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No