

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.7733 of 2023
Date of Decision: 11.03.2024**

Raju alias Raj Kumar

.....Revisionist-Petitioner.

Versus

Vikram Singh Walia and another

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. Rishabh Gupta, Advocate
 for the revisionist-petitioner.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J. (Oral)

By filing the instant revision-petition, the petitioner/Judgment-Debtor No.2 (here-in-after to be referred as 'JD No.2') has laid challenge to the order passed by learned Civil Judge (Junior Division), Hoshiarpur (for short 'the Executing Court') on 16.11.2023 in *Execution Petition No.73 of 2022* titled as "*Vikram Singh Walia Vs. Shakuntala Devi and another*", whereby the objection-petition, as preferred by him, has been dismissed.

2. However, at this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner-JD No.2 submits that his client has already moved an application under Order 9 Rule 13 CPC and the same is pending before the Court below and he (JD No.2) has also moved an application therein with the prayer to stay the execution proceedings and he (learned counsel) restricts his prayer to the issuance of direction to the above-said Court to decide the afore-referred stay application expeditiously.

3. Heard.
4. Keeping in view the above-discussed limited prayer as made by learned counsel for the petitioner-JD No.2 and without commenting or expressing any opinion on the merits of the afore-said Execution Petition or the application filed under Order 9 Rule 13 CPC or the stay application as moved therein, the revision-petition in hand is, hereby, disposed of with a direction to the concerned Court below to decide the above-mentioned stay application, as expeditiously as possible.

March 11, 2024
Yag Dutt

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>No</i>