

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

121

CWP-29185-2023
Date of Decision: 10.01.2024

Rattan Singh ...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others ...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA

Present:- Ms. Arunima Agashe, Advocate for Mr. Rohit Mittal, Advocate
for the petitioner

Mr. Rohit Arya, DAG, Haryana

TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA, J. (Oral)

This petition has been filed seeking a writ of *mandamus* directing the respondent Department to consider and promote the petitioner on the post of PGT-English w.e.f. 13.04.2022, i.e., the date when his juniors were so promoted.

2. It is contended that the petitioner fulfilled all the requisite qualifications, including the experience, on the date his juniors were so considered and promoted. He has been denied consideration for no valid reasons. Even the representation submitted in that regard, dated 18.04.2022 (Annexure P-4), remains undecided.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Rohit Arya, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents/State and submits that in case the petitioner is eligible and had the requisite qualifications on the date his juniors were promoted, his case will also be so considered; and appropriate orders on his representation will be passed.

5. In view thereof, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the petition stands disposed of directing respondent no.3 to decide the pending representation of the petitioner, Annexure P-4, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law, within a period of six weeks from the date of receiving certified copy of this order. In case the petitioner is found entitled to be promoted, necessary order to that effect will be passed within four weeks thereafter.

(TRIBHUVAN DAHIYA)
JUDGE

10.01.2024
Payal

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>