



CWP-31905-2024

-1-

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-31905-2024

Date of decision : 29.11.2024

Navkiran Kaur

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. R.S. Mamli, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. J.S. Gill, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.

SHEEL NAGU, CHIEF JUSTICE (Oral)

1. After arguing at length, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner shall be satisfied if she is allowed to file reply to the impugned notice dated 30.10.2024 (Annexure P-4) and respondent No.2 – University is directed to decide the same. It is further requested that since the impugned notice dated 30.10.2024 is founded upon the policy decision taken by the Government of Punjab dated 06.03.2023 (Annexure P-2), the petitioner be permitted to make a representation to the State and direction be also issued to the State to decide the same.

2. In view of the above, this Court, without commenting upon merits, disposes of this petition with following directions :



- (i)

If reply to notice dated 30.10.2024 (Annexure P-4) issued by respondent No.2 – University is filed by the petitioner within a period of ten days, same shall be decided by the University on its own merits without being influenced by the fact of the petitioner having approached this Court; and
- (ii)

If a representation is made by the petitioner against notification dated 06.03.2023 (Annexure P-2) issued by the Government of Punjab, same shall be decided by respondent No.1.
3.

The aforesaid decisions be taken as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of one week from the date of submitting reply/representation to each of the authority, by passing speaking order and communicating the same to the petitioner.

(SHEEL NAGU)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

November 29, 2024
narotam

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No