



CWP-32138-2024

-1-

118

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-32138-2024

Decided on: 09.12.2024

Maan Chhabra through his father

.... Petitioner

Versus

Jain Public School and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Dhruv Sihag, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aditya Jain, Advocate,
for respondent No.1.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (ORAL)

1. At the outset, both the learned counsels for the parties have stated that the present petition may be disposed of in view of independent instructions obtained by them from their respective clients.

2. Mr. Aditya Jain, learned counsel for respondent No.1-School has stated that he has sought specific instructions that in case the petitioner and his father give an undertaking to the School in the form of apology in the same format as has been submitted by the other students and assurance of his future good behavior in the form of an affidavit by the father of the petitioner that the petitioner will not misbehave in future, then the School is ready to take back the petitioner on board. He further submitted that he has also sought specific instructions to state that since the career of the petitioner is involved in the present case wherein he was earlier rusticated but now the School is ready to take him back subject to the aforesaid condition, this will not create any embargo pertaining to the shortage of attendance only on the ground that he did

not attend the classes during the aforesaid period and in case the aforesaid

undertaking in the same format as of the other students along with the affidavit of the father of the petitioner is submitted to the School Authorities then the name of the petitioner will be restored on the rolls of the School forthwith.

3. Mr. Dhruv Sihag, learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that he has also sought instructions to state that the petitioner and his father/parents would give the undertaking in the same format as that of the other students against whom the School had proceeded and the father of the petitioner, namely, Sh. Neeraj Chhabra will submit a separate affidavit to the School along with the aforesaid undertaking that the petitioner, namely, Maan Chhabra will not do any such wrongful activity in future. He further submitted that he has also sought specific instructions from the petitioner, his father, namely, Sh. Neeraj Chhabra and also from the brother of the father of the petitioner, namely, Sh. Ajay Kumar Chhabra to state that the complaint given by Sh. Ajay Kumar Chhabra to the Police against the Principal of the School will be withdrawn by him since as of date no FIR has been registered as the matter has been settled between the parties.

4. In view of the above, statements made by both the learned counsels for the parties on their respective instructions, the present petition is disposed of.

09.12.2024

Bhumika

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)

JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned:

2. Whether reportable:

Yes/No

Yes/No