

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.64137 of 2023
Date of decision: 15th March, 2024

Guddi Devi & others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. R.K. Saini, Advocate for the petitioners.

Ms. Trishanjali Sharma, Dy. Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent/State.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioners are seeking the concession of bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.98 dated 15.05.2020 under Sections 148, 149, 188, 323, 325, 506 of the IPC (Sections 324, 307, 34 IPC added and sections 148, 149 IPC deleted later on) registered at Police Station Shahzadpur, District Ambala.

2. Vide order dated 20.12.2023 passed by a Coordinate Bench of this Court, while noticing the following submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners, petitioner No.1 Guddi Devi had been directed to appear before the learned trial Court and furnish her surety bonds.

“Learned counsel for the petitioners, inter alia submits that no specific injury has been attributed to petitioner no.1-Guddi Devi. She has been declared innocent by the investigating agency though she has been

summoned on the application filed under Section 319 of the Code of Criminal Procedure.”

3. On the last date of hearing, i.e. 12.01.2024, while making the interim order dated 20.12.2023 absolute qua petitioner No.1, this Court had directed the petitioners No.2 and 3, Mohit and Ram Kumar respectively, to put in an appearance before the learned trial Court with a further direction that on their doing so they would be admitted to interim bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that in compliance of the order dated 12.01.2024, petitioners No.2 and 3 have put in an appearance before the learned trial Court and subsequently have been admitted to interim bail. In support, he has invited the attention of this Court to order dated 20.01.2024 passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Ambala.

5. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the factum of the petitioners having appeared before the trial Court and thereafter, granted the concession of interim bail by the learned trial Court.

6. In the circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 12.01.2024 is made absolute.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

March 15, 2024
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No