



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

233

CRM-M-60320-2024(O&M)

Date of decision :09.12.2024

Mithun @ Lovraj

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN

Present: Mr. Navmohit Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Amrik Singh Narwal, DAG, Haryana.

HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. (Section 483 BNSS) for grant of regular bail to the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.183 dated 23.07.2024 (Annexure P-1) under Section 69 BNS, registered at Police Station HSIDC, BARHI, District Sonapat.

2. I have heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and State counsel.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in this case. On the other hand, learned State counsel opposes the bail on the ground of gravity of allegations levelled against the petitioner.

4. Petitioner is in custody facing the trial, challan has been presented and charges have been framed.

5. Custody certificate dated 05.12.2024 has been filed on behalf of the respondent-State, which is taken on record. As per the custody certificate,

the petitioner has undergone 04 month and 10 days of actual custody. Learned



State counsel has confirmed that final report has been filed under Section 173 Cr.P.C. Charge-sheet has been issued to the petitioner, however the witnesses are yet to be examined. As per the version in the FIR, the prosecutrix was earlier married with Sandeep and was having two children and her matrimonial litigation was pending in the Court. She came in contact with the petitioner and the petitioner is alleged to have promised to solemnize marriage with her. Later on prosecutrix came to know that the petitioner is already married. Both, the prosecutrix and petitioner are major. Investigation is complete. Charges have been framed.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case and keeping in view the above facts, the present petition is allowed.

7. The petitioner is ordered to be released on regular bail, subject to his furnishing adequate bail bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned.

8. However, it is made clear that nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of opinion on merits of the case.

9. Pending miscellaneous application (s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

09.12.2024

Nisha-I

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No