



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-59082-2024
Date of Decision: 09.12.2024

Sanjay Mittal ...Petitioner(s)
Vs.
State of Haryana ...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S.SHEKHAWAT

Present : Mr. Aditya Sanghi, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Gurmeet Singh, AAG, Haryana.

N.S.SHEKHAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition is for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.39 dated 05.12.2023 registered under Sections 420, 218, 120-B, 467, 468 and 471 of IPC and Section 13 of Prevention of Corruption Act at Police Station ACB, Gurugram District Anti Corruption Bureau, Haryana.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the similarly placed co-accused Shiv Ratan Mehta has been granted the concession of anticipatory bail in the connected case, i.e., CRM M-59258 of 2024. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner was neither purchaser nor the beneficiary in any manner and the custodial interrogation of the petitioner may not be required.
3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the prayer made by the learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that there are serious allegations against the petitioner and the petitioner is not entitled for the concession of anticipatory bail.
4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
5. In view of the above, without commenting any further on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is granted

concession of anticipatory bail, subject to the conditions as provided under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. It will be open for the Investigating Officer to call the petitioner to join investigation, if so required, by issuing a written notice in this regard and he shall abide by the conditions mentioned in Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C.

09.12.2024
amit rana

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No