



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

135

CRWP-11421-2024 (O&M)**Date of decision: 27.11.2024**

ANSHIKA AND ANR

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF CHANDIGARH (UT) AND ORS.

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present : Mr. Madan Sandhu, Advocate for
Mr. Ajay Partap Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

SANJIV BERRY. J. (Oral)

1. The instant petition has been preferred by the petitioners under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 for protection of their life and liberty from respondents No.4 and 5.
2. Notice of motion.
3. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Tarun Vir Singh Lehal, Additional P.P. for U.T. Chandigarh accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3 and on instructions from ASI Sunita Devi, Police Station I.T. Park, Chandigarh has categorically submitted that both the petitioners have given their respective statements on 26.11.2024 intimating that they have no apprehension to their life and liberty as of now. Copy of the statement has been placed on record. Another copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioners.
4. After perusing the same, learned counsel for the petitioners has very fairly sought withdrawal of the present petition at this stage with liberty to file the

same afresh if circumstances so require in future in accordance with law.

5. Dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

27.11.2024
S.Sharma(syr)

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/ No