

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

220

CRM-M-59174-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision:- 10.12.2024

JASKARAN SINGH

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present : Mr. Tarun Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Ankit Grewal, DAG Punjab.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case
FIR (Annexure P-1) as under:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
178	03.11.2024	331(6), 115(2), 3(5), 61(2) BNS	Talwandi Sabo, District Bathinda

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in compliance to the order dated 27.11.2024 passed by this Court, the petitioner has already joined the investigation and as such interim bail granted to the petitioner may be confirmed.
3. Learned State counsel, on instructions received from ASI Gumdoor Singh has intimated that the petitioner has joined investigation and



is no more required for any custodial investigation in this case nor he is required for further investigation.

4. Heard.

5. During the course of hearing on 27.11.2024, the following order was passed:-

“2. It is, inter alia, contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that the petitioner is innocent and has been falsely implicated in this case being a counter blast to the FIR No. 134 dated 26.07.2022, under Sections 302, 307, 323, 148 and 149 IPC and 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, registered at P.S. Talwandi Sabo, District Bathinda. He contends that even as per the FIR the allegations against the petitioner are of attribution of injuries which are found simple in nature, although the petitioner denies having caused any such injury. He contends that the petitioner is not having any other criminal antecedents and is ready to join investigation.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner further refers to the order dated 20.11.2024, passed in CRM-M-57707-2024, ‘Kuldeep Singh and another vs. State of Punjab’, (Annexure P-2) whereby his co-accused have been granted the concession of anticipatory bail and seeks parity thereof.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Ms. Seena Sandhu, Addl. AG, Punjab, present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the State-respondent and, on instructions from ASI Jagtar Singh, submits that the injuries attributed to the petitioner are simple in nature and not on the vital part of the body. She has also not disputed the fact that the petitioner is at par with the other co-accused vide which they have been granted the bail vide order dated 20.11.2024 (supra). She prays for time to file the status report/reply in the matter.

6. Adjourned to 10.12.2024.

7. Needful be done well before the date fixed with an advance copy to the counsel opposite. 8. In the meanwhile, the petitioner is hereby directed to join investigation within seven days from today and in the event of his arrest, he is ordered to be released on interim bail on his furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. He shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita 2023 (BNSS).”



6. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 27.11.2024 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 27.11.2024 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 482(2) BNSS. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

7. The petition stands allowed.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

10.12.2024
S.Sharma(syr)

i)	Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
ii)	Whether reportable?	Yes/No