

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

LPA-2096-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision:-15.03.2024

Suman Mor
...Appellant(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others
...Respondent(s)

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA, ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE**
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present: Mr. Jasbir Mor, Advocate,
for the appellant.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Additional Advocate General, Haryana,
for respondents No. 1 and 2.

Ms. Harpriya Khaneka, Advocate,
for respondent No. 3 - HPSC.

* * * *

G.S. SANDHAWALIA, A.C.J. (ORAL)

1. The present appeal is directed against the order dated 13.12.2023 passed by the learned Single Judge dismissing CWP-27144-2023 filed by the writ petitioner (appellant herein).
2. In pursuance of the order dated 11.03.2024, the necessary affidavit of Mr. Satish Kumar, Deputy Secretary, Haryana Public Service Commission has been filed. It has been averred that the appellant-writ petitioner had failed to attain the requisite marks in the subject knowledge test so as to qualify for the interview stage and, therefore, she was not eligible to be called for the interview which was scheduled from 11.03.2024 to 15.03.2024. It has further been averred that the appellant-writ petitioner had been provisionally permitted to appear in the subject knowledge test, but had failed to secure the requisite marks for the interview stage and, therefore, the

present appeal has been rendered infructuous and deserves to be dismissed as such.

3. The writ petition had been dismissed by the learned Single Judge vide the impugned order by holding that the OMR sheet had been wrongly filled up and, therefore, could not be taken into consideration and had been rejected. Vide interim order dated 19.12.2023 passed by the Coordinate Bench of this Court in the present appeal, the relief had been granted and the appellant had been provisionally allowed to participate in the subject knowledge test which was scheduled for 31.12.2023.

4. Thus, keeping in view the above, learned counsel for the appellant does not press the present appeal. In view of the above facts and circumstances, he prays for liberty to avail of his remedy in accordance with law regarding factual verification under the Right to Information Act, 2005.

5. The appeal, accordingly, stands disposed of with the aforesaid liberty.

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
ACTING CHIEF JUSTICE

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

15.03.2024
Amodh Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No