



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

127

CRWP-11420-2024(O&M)
Date of Decision: 27.11.2024

JAGDEEP SINGHPetitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERSRespondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present : Ms. Simmy, Advocate for
Mr. Rajinder Yadav, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directing the respondents no.2 & 3 to protect the life and personal liberty of the petitioner and also directing the respondents no. 4 to 8 or anybody else not to interfere with the life and personal liberty of the petitioner and take action on his representation dated 23.11.2024 (Annexure P-1).

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioner has recently filed a representation on 23.11.2024 before the Senior Superintendent of Police, District Moga, Punjab (Annexure P-1) raising his grievances as mentioned in the present petition but the same has not yet been considered and no action has been taken thereon. He further submitted that at this stage, the petitioner would be satisfied if appropriate directions are issued to respondent No.2-Senior



Superintendent of Police, District Moga, Punjab to decide the aforesaid representation filed by the petitioner and take necessary action thereon.

- 3. Notice of motion.
- 4. Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab who is present in Court, has accepted the notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that the needful will be done in due course. Let a complete set of the paperbook be supplied to her during the course of the day.
- 5. In view of the limited prayer made by the petitioner, without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present petition is disposed of at this stage with a direction to respondent No. 2 to decide the aforesaid representation dated 23.11.2024 (Annexure P-1) of the petitioner within a period of one month, by passing a speaking order in accordance with law. Needless to say, if any threat is found to the petitioner, the official respondents would provide him adequate security in order to protect their life and liberty.

27.11.2024
Deepak Patwal

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

- 1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
- 2. Whether reportable : Yes/No