



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

124

CWP-31832-2024 (O&M)

Date of decision: 26.11.2024

Rajender Pal

....Petitioner

Versus

Punjab National Bank and Others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. R.K. Handa and Mr. D.B. Bhargav, Advocates
for the petitioner

Mr. Saurav Verma and Ms. Preeti Grover, Advocates
for the respondents-Bank

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel submits that since it is not a case of mis-conduct, the major penalty of compulsory retirement ought not to have been inflicted upon the petitioner, that too without taking into account that he had an unblemished service record and 8 more years to go, thus even otherwise a lenient view could have been taken.
2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that there is a statutory remedy of appeal, which has not been availed of by the petitioner.
3. Faced with the above, learned counsel on instructions from the petitioner prays for withdrawal of the instant petition with liberty to file statutory appeal.
4. Ordered accordingly.

**(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

26.11.2024

M.Kamra

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No