



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

124

CRWP-11469-2024(O&M)
Date of Decision: 28.11.2024

SUMAN AND ANRPetitioners
VERSUS
STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORSRespondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present : Mr. Saurabh Singla, Advocate for the petitioners.
Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of Mandamus directing the respondents no. 2 and 3 to protect the lives and personal liberty of the petitioners and also directing the respondent no.4 to 9 not to interfere in the personal lives and liberty of the petitioners and take action on their representation dated 19.11.2024 (Annexure P-3).
2. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners that they are major. The petitioner no.1 earlier married with respondent no.4 and out of this wedlock, two children were born but from the date of marriage, the in-laws family of petitioner no.1 harassing and taunting her for less dowry. The husband of petitioner no.1 is a drug addict and often he beats her without any reasons. This fact also disclosed to panchayat by her but no fruitful result came out. So both the petitioners have decided to apart from respondent no.4. Even petitioner no.1 has tried to get divorce from respondent no.4 but their parents has not supported her due to which she has left her house and has started living with the petitioner No.2.
- Apprehending danger to their lives and liberty, they sent representation dated



19.11.2024 (Annexure P-3) to the respondent No.2 but the same has not been taken into consideration and hence, the present petition.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, Sr. D.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3, at this stage. The petitioners' counsel is directed to supply the copy of the petition to her.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State counsel without going into the question of legality of their relationship and without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the veracity of the averments made in the petition and also with regard to the sanctity of relationship or age of the petitioners, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, SSP Office, SAS Nagar to look into the matter and dispose of the representation dated 19.11.2024 (Annexure P-3) in accordance with law and also to take necessary step, if any, genuine threat to life and liberty of the petitioners is found.

6. A copy of this order along with copy of representation dated 19.11.2024 (Annexure P-3) be sent to respondent No.2, so as to enable him to do the needful expeditiously.

7. It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

28.11.2024

Deepak Patwal

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

2. Whether reportable : Yes/No