

222-2

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-M-63694-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision : 24.01.2024**

Vikram @ Pahadi

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU

Present: Mr. Kartar Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikram Singh, AAG, Haryana.

MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU, J.

Present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, praying for quashing of order dated 12.12.2023, passed by learned Additional Sessions Judge, Fatehabad, cancelling the bail of petitioner and issuing non-bailable warrants of arrest against him in FIR No.317 dated 15.10.2022, under Sections 21-B, 27-A of the Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985, registered at Police Station, City Ratia, District Fatehabad.

2. This Court, on 18.12.2023, passed the following order:-

“Notice of motion.

At this stage, Mr. Kiran Pal Singh, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and seeks time to file response and/or to have instructions in the matter.

Posted for 24.1.2024.

To be heard along with CRM-M-23869-2023.

In the meanwhile, petitioner shall surrender before the Court below and on doing so, he be released on interim bail in continuation of the order dated 7.7.2023 (P-3).”

3. Contends that in terms of order dated 18.12.2023, petitioner has joined the proceedings and regularly appearing before learned trial Court.
4. Learned State counsel, on instructions, does not dispute the above factual position.
5. In view of above, present petition is allowed; impugned order dated 15.10.2022 thereby cancelling the bail of petitioner, is quashed and set aside; and interim order dated 18.12.2023, passed by this Court, is made absolute.
6. It is also made clear that petitioner shall regularly appear before learned trial Court, without seeking unnecessary adjournments.
8. Also clarified that in case of recurrence on the part of the petitioner, State of Haryana would be at liberty to move an application for recalling of this order, as per law.
9. The above observations may not be construed as an expression of opinion on merits of the case; rather confined only to decide the present petition.
10. Pending application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed off.

24.01.2024
atulsethi

(MAHABIR SINGH SINDHU)
JUDGE

Whether speaking / reasoned :
Whether Reportable :

Yes	No
Yes	No