



CWP-31737-2024

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

115

CWP-31737-2024

Date of Decision:27.11.2024

Lakhvir Singh and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. J.S. Maanipur, Advocate with
Mr. Jasbir Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Amarpreet Singh Bains, AAG, Punjab.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J.(ORAL)

1. In the present petition, the grievance being raised by the petitioners are that they are entitled for selection and consequent appointments *qua* the posts advertised vide Advertisement No.5/2016 dated 02.09.2016.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that without there being any valid justification, the petitioners cannot be denied their right to be considered against the advertised posts. The reliance is being placed upon the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in ***Civil Appeal No.2634 of 2023***, decided on 07.11.2024, titled '***Tej Prakash and others Vs. Rajasthan High Court and others***'.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners further submits that the grievance which has been raised in the present petition has already been

CWP-31737-2024 -2-

raised in the legal notice dated 23.07.2024, copy of which has been appended as Annexure P-9 but the same is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioners will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the same by passing an appropriate speaking order.

4. Notice of motion.

5. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Amarpreet Singh Bains, AAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State and submits that in case, legal notice dated 23.07.2024 (Annexure P/9) has been received in the office of the respondents and the same is still pending consideration with the authorities concerned, the same will be decided by the competent authority within a period of eight weeks from the date of the receipt of copy of this order by passing an appropriate speaking order and in case, it is found feasible to accept the claim of the petitioners, the same will be accepted, otherwise due reasons for not accepting the claim of the petitioners will be mentioned in the speaking order so passed, which order will be conveyed to the petitioners for their information and necessary action.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that keeping in view the statement of learned counsel for the respondents, the present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

7. Ordered accordingly.

27.11.2024 (HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
D.Bansal JUDGE