



has not been taken and even the said injuries have been attributed to the petitioner. He further contends that the petitioner was arrested in the present case on 13.05.2024 and after completion of investigation, the challan has already been presented against him.

3. On the other hand, learned State counsel has vehemently opposed the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner on the ground that serious and specific role has been assigned to the petitioner and he does not deserve the concession of bail by this Court.

4. I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.

5. The petitioner was arrested in the present case on 13.05.2024 and is in custody for the last more than 06 months. The injured in the present case has already been discharged from the hospital. Moreover, the prosecution has not been able to place on record any material to show that the petitioner is in a position to influence the witnesses of the prosecution.

6. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed and the petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the trial Court/Duty Magistrate/Chief Judicial Magistrate, concerned.

29.11.2024.
hemlata

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No