



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-11383-2024

Date of decision : 26.11.2024

Ramandeep Kaur and another

.....Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present :- Ms. Simmy, Advocate for
Mr. Rajinder Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in this petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for directing respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners and to restrain the private respondent No.4 and 5 not to harass or interfere in the peaceful married life of the petitioners. Further prayer has been made to direct respondents No.2 and 3 to decide the representation dated 21.11.2024 (Annexure P-5).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondents No.4 and 5 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents No.4 and 5. Their marriage certificate and photographs evidencing their marriage are on record as Annexures P-3 and P-4. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that petitioners have filed representation dated 21.11.2024 (Annexure P-5) before the Senior Superintendent of Police, District Barnala, Punjab for the redressal of their claim and the same has not yet been decided.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On asking of the Court, Mr. Tarun Aggarwal, Sr. D.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State.

5. Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent No.-2 i.e. Senior Superintendent of Police, District Barnala, Punjab to decide the representation dated 21.11.2024 (Annexure P-5) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived. It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

6. Disposed of.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

26.11.2024
ps-I

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No