



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

237

COCp-3881-2023

Date of decision: 13.05.2024

INDUSLND BANK LTD

...Petitioner

Versus

JAGPINDER SINGH SODHI AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present:- Mr. Nitin Jain, Advocate and
Mr. Amrit Sandhu, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Ms. Jasleen Kaur Sidhu, DAG, Punjab.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. This is a contempt petition filed under Article 215 of the Constitution of India, read with Section 2(b) and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, for punishing the respondents for disobeying the order dated 06.09.2021 passed in CM-3178-CWP-2021 in CWP-4916-2020.

2. In pursuance of the order dated 09.04.2024, Sh. Jagdeepinder Singh, Naib Tehsildar/Tehsildar, Sahnewal is present in the Court today. He has produced the receipt dated 19.04.2024, vide which costs of Rs.10,000/- have been deposited with the High Court Lawyers Welfare Fund. The said receipt is taken on record.

3. Learned State counsel has submitted that the order dated 06.09.2021 passed in CM-3178-CWP-2021 in CWP-4916-2020 has since been complied with. The actual physical possession of the secured asset itself has already been handed over to the petitioner.



COCP-3881-2023

/2/

4. In view of the above, the present petition is rendered infructuous and dismissed, as such.

5. However, if any grievance of the petitioner is left unredressed, it would be at liberty to raise the same in any other alternate remedy, but in accordance with law.

6. The personal appearance of Sh. Jagdeepinder Singh, Naib Tehsildar/Tehsildar, Sahnewal, is exempted.

13.05.2024

parveen kumar

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No