

274

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-63625-2023
Date of Decision:18.04.2024

Rajesh Kumar Dhiman ...Petitioner
Vs.
Pardeep Kumar ...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S.SHEKHAWAT

Present : Mr. Sumit, Advocate for
Mr. G. S. Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

N.S.SHEKHAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. Mr. Sanjeev Majra, Advocate puts in appearance on behalf of the respondent, by filing his Vakalatnama, and the same is taken on record.
2. The petitioner has filed the present petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure with a prayer to quash the impugned order dated 07.11.2023 (Annexure P-3), passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Kurukshetra, whereby the Appellate Court had directed the petitioner to deposit a sum of Rs.12,00,000/- being 20% of the compensation amount awarded vide judgment dated 06.09.2023 passed by learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Kurukshetra.
3. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and perused the record.
4. Learned counsel for the parties have submitted that with the consent of the parties, the impugned order dated 07.11.2023

(Annexure P-3), passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Kurukshetra may be set aside and appropriate directions may be issued to the Court of Additional Sessions Judge, Kurukshetra/learned Appellate Court to decide the appeal within certain stipulated time period.

5. The prayer made on behalf of the learned counsel for the parties appears to be genuine. In view of the agreement between the parties, the impugned order dated 07.11.2023 (Annexure P-3), passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Kurukshetra is ordered to be set aside.

6. Further, the Appellate Court is directed to decide the appeal within a period of three months from the next date of hearing fixed before the Court.

7. Disposed off.

18.04.2024

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)

M.Sikka

JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking

:

Yes/No

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No