



CWP-32063-2024

-1-

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-32063-2024Date of decision: 28.11.2024

Ishwar Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. Pankaj Yadav, Advocate, for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner (Ishwar Singh) has prayed for the following substantive relief:-

“Petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the Respondents to allot a residential plot under the Oustees Quota to the Petitioner against his application (Annexure P-6, dated 17.12.2018), which was made pursuant to the Respondents' Oustees Scheme, issued vide Memo No. Admn/A-1/2018/12249 (Annexure P-5, dated 03.09.2018), as the Petitioner has been rightly adjudged eligible for allotment on two occasions: firstly, on 24.09.2020 (Annexure P-8, dated 24.09.2020), when he was declared eligible for the allotment of a 1 Kanal plot in Sector-51, Gurugram, under the Oustees Quota; and secondly, on 02.08.2024, when the Respondents revised their stand and verbally apprised the Petitioner of his eligibility for allotment of a 14 Marla plot under the Oustees Quota in Sector-51, Gurugram. Despite this, no allotment has been made to the Petitioner, whereas similarly placed Oustees who applied under the same scheme have been allotted plots.

AND/OR issue a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the Respondents to ensure parity and equality by



treating the Petitioner at par with other Oustees who have been allotted plots under the Oustees Quota Scheme as per the eligibility and entitlement criteria laid down in the 2018 policy (Annexure P-5, dated 03.09.2018).

AND/OR issue a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the Respondents to expedite the allotment process and to refrain from finalizing or holding any draw of lots under the Oustees Quota pursuant to the 2018 scheme (Annexure P-5, dated 03.09.2018) without including the Petition, who has been declared eligible twice, in the final list of eligible Oustees.”

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate, for the respondent-HSVP, is present in Court. At the outset, he, on instructions, submits that competent authority, vide a conscious decision dated 25.11.2024, has since declared the petitioner eligible for allotment of 14 marla plot under the Oustee Category. Further, he submits that in all likelihood, the draw of lots would be held within six weeks from today. And, in the event, the petitioner succeeds, he would be issued a regular letter of allotment soon thereafter.

That being so, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that nothing substantive survives in the petition and the same be disposed of, in terms of the statement made by learned counsel for the respondent-HSVP.

In the wake of the position sketched out above and in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties, the petition is accordingly disposed of.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

28.11.2024
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No