

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

211 CRM-M-63799-2023
Date of Decision.:19.01.2024

Harjeet SinghPetitioner
Vs.
State of HaryanaRespondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sumit Jain, Addl. AG, Haryana.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is seeking regular bail by way of petition filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C in case FIR No.297 dated 02.05.2022 registered under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B, 34 of the IPC registered at Police Station 32-33, Karnal.

2. In order to show his *bona fide*, learned counsel for the petitioner has brought a Demand Draft No.845964 for an amount of ₹3,00,000/- drawn on Canara Bank, favoring Harjeet Singh i.e. petitioner.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that since the amount is to be deposited in the loan account of the petitioner, therefore, the Demand Draft is favoring him as was directed by the bank official.
4. Having regard to the *bona fide* shown by the petitioner, petition is allowed and petitioner is admitted to bail on his furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Learned Trial Court/Duty

Magistrate concerned, on usual terms and conditions.

5. Petitioner is directed to produce the said Demand Draft at the time of furnishing bonds, to be furnished within 07 days positively from today before the Trial Court/Duty Magistrate.
6. The Trial Court will call the Investigating Officer along with the complainant to whom the Demand Draft would be handed over for depositing it in the loan account of the petitioner.
7. Copy of the Demand Draft has been retained on the Court file.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

January 19, 2024
Neetika Tuteja

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No