



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

205

CRM-M-63852-2023 (O&M)
Date of decision: 25.11.2024

NAVDEEP SINGH

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN

Present : Mr. Surinder Thakur, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Davinder Bir Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

None for respondent No.2.

HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN , J.

1. The instant petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioner in case FIR No.112 dated 27.10.2023 under Section 498-A IPC registered at Police Station Women, Police Commissionerate, Amritsar, District Amritsar.
2. The petitioner was directed to join the investigation, as per the order dated 20.12.2023 passed by the Coordinate Bench of this Court.
3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent-State confirms that the petitioner has joined the investigation and also contends that his further custodial interrogation is not required.
4. As per the office report, demand draft bearing No.607828 dated 03.05.2024 amounting to Rs.30,000/- in the name of respondent No.2-Ms.



CRM-M-63852-2023 (O&M) - 2-

Arvinder Kaur already deposited in the Registry, has been kept in the safe custody of Cash branch.

5. As per the office report, service of respondent No.2 is complete. However, there is no representation on behalf of her.

6. The allegations against the petitioner are matter of trial.

7. In view of the reasons recorded in the order dated 20.12.2023 and keeping in view the fact that the petitioner has joined investigation, his further custodial interrogation is not required by the Investigating Agency, the present petition is allowed and the order dated 20.12.2023, granting interim bail to the petitioner is made absolute, subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

8. The above-said demand draft be handed-over to respondent No.2 by the quarter concerned against proper receipt and identification.

9. However, it is made clear that nothing expressed hereinabove would be construed to be an expression of opinion on merits of the case.

10. Liberty is reserved in favour of the State/complainant to move for cancellation/recall of the order in case the petitioner violates any condition stipulated under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C., or upon showing any other sufficient cause.

11. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, shall stand disposed of.

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

25.11.2024

P.Bhatt

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No