



CRWP-11342-2024
Date of decision: 25.11.2024

....Petitioners.

Versus

...Respondents.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, DAG, Haryana.

• • • • •

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

Instant petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India has been preferred seeking a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the official respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the behest of private respondents.


2. Heard.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Ms. Gaganpreet Kaur, DAG, Haryana, who is present in Court, accepts notice. She, at the very outset, referring to the *pairavi* report, received from SHO P.S. Madlauda, District Panipat, has submitted that respondents No. 4 to 6 were summoned to the Police Station and their statements had been

CRWP-11342-2024

2024:PHHC:155181



recorded wherein they have categorically stated that they have no objection to the marriage of the petitioners. Copies of the statements of respondents No. 4 to 6 have been placed on record and the same are marked as Annexures ‘A’, ‘B’ ‘C’. The same are handed over to learned counsel for the petitioners in Court as well.

5. After perusing the same, learned counsel for the petitioners, prays for withdrawal of the instant petition at this stage with liberty to file the petition afresh, in case so required, in future.

6. In view of the statements given by respondents No. 4 to 6 and the submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioners, instant petition is dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

25.11.2024
preeti

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |