



247

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-59234-2024

Date of Decision: 17.12.2024

Ankit Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR

Present: Mr. Mandeep Nehra, Advocate for
Mr. Sanpreet Sandhu, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Ankur Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Sunil Hooda, Advocate for respondent No.2-complainant.

HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)

1. This petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.171 dated 12.05.2021 under Sections 323, 343, 406, 498-A, 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Ladwa, District Kurukshetra (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 19.11.2024 (Annexure P-2).

2. The following order was passed on 28.11.2024:-

“This petition has been filed under Section 528 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 seeking quashing of FIR No.171 dated 12.05.2021 under Sections 323, 343, 406, 498-A, 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Ladwa, District Kurukshetra (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise dated 19.11.2024 (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion.

At this stage, on asking of the Court, Ms. Geeta Sharma, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1-State and Mr. Sunil Hooda, Advocate accepts notice for respondent No.2 and files

his Vakalatnama, which is taken on record. Copy of the paper book be supplied to them during course of the day.

Service is complete.

Adjourned to 17.12.2024.

In the meanwhile, the parties are directed to appear before learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate within two weeks from today or any other date convenient to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate, to get their statements recorded regarding compromise and after recording their statements, learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate is directed to send report regarding the genuineness of compromise and also to intimate whether any PO proceedings are pending against any of the party on or before the date fixed.

A copy of this order be sent to learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate through fax for compliance.”

3. In compliance of the aforesaid order, a report has been received from the concerned jurisdictional Court that the compromise between the parties is genuine and arrived at without any pressure or coercion from anyone.

4. In view of the compromise and the ratio of law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466, Ramgopal and another Vs. State of Madhya Pradesh 2021 SCC OnLine SC 834 and Shakuntala Sawhney (Mrs) Vs. Kaushalya (Mrs.) and others (1980) 1 SCC 63* and Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh Vs. State of Punjab 2007 (3) RCR (Crl.) 1052*, **this petition is allowed** and FIR No.171 dated 12.05.2021 under Sections 323, 343, 406, 498-A, 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, registered at Police Station Ladwa, District Kurukshetra (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same are quashed, qua the petitioners.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

17.12.2024
Parveen kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable :Yes/No