

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

213

CRM-M-63848-2023
Date of Decision.:12.03.2024

Lakhan

Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab

Respondent

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present: Ms. Guneet Babbar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

On the last date of hearing, following order was passed:

“By way of present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., petitioner has prayed for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.15 dated 28.01.2023 registered under Sections 324, 457, 380, 427, 148, 149 IPC at Police Station Canal Colony, District Bathinda.

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner did not participate in the occurrence. Learned counsel further contended that main accused of the case has already compromised the matter with the complainant and quashing petition has already been filed in which parties have been directed to appear before the trial Court concerned for getting their statements recorded vide order dated 24.05.2023 passed by this Court in CRM-M-17908 of 2023.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Sarabjit Singh Cheema, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of the respondent- State. Copy of the petition be supplied to him during course of the day.

In the meantime, in case of his arrest, the petitioner shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/ Investigating Officer. However, it is further directed that the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when so required by the Investigating Officer. He shall not contact any person associated with the case to dissuade him from the investigation in any manner whatsoever and nor shall leave the country without prior permission of the Court. He shall further comply with the conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.”

Learned State counsel, on instructions from HC Jaskaran Singh, submits that petitioner has not joined the investigation till date. It is despite the fact that order for joining the investigation was passed wayback on 21.12.2023.

Learned counsel for the petitioner also informs that despite making efforts, petitioner has not contacted her.

In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, present petition is hereby dismissed as petitioner has not made compliance of the order dated 21.12.2023.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

March 12, 2024
Neetika Tuteja

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No