



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

108

CRM-W-1487-2024 IN/AND
CRWP-11308-2024(O&M)
Date of Decision: 27.11.2024

AMANDEEP KAUR AND ANRPetitioners
VERSUS
STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORSRespondents

CORAM : HON’BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANISHA BATRA

Present : Mr. Brahminder Singh Toor, Advocate for the petitioners.
Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

MANISHA BATRA, J. (Oral)

CRM-W-1487-2024

The application has been filed for placing on record Postal Receipt of sending representation to Senior Superintendent of Police, Faridkot at Annexure P-4.

For the reasons stated in the application, the same is allowed, subject to all just exceptions. Annexure P-4 is ordered to be placed on record.

Main Case

1. The present petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directing the official respondents no. 2 & 3 for protect the life and personal liberty of the petitioners and also directing the private respondents not to interfere in the personal lives and liberty of the



petitioners and further directing the respondents no. 2 & 3 not to allow private respondents to interfere with the liberty and lives of the petitioners to harass them and take action on their representation dated 19.11.2024 (Annexure P-3).

2. It is submitted by the learned counsel for the petitioners that they are major. They are in love. The petitioner no.1 with her own free will and consent and without any pressure, threat is living with petitioner no.2. Petitioner No.2 is an agriculturist and is earning handsome salary and petitioner no.1 is a household girl and they can easily earn their livelihood. They know each other from last three years and are living in live in relationship. But the private respondents have been extending threats to them that they cannot live together. Apprehending danger to their lives and liberty, they sent representation dated 19.11.2024 to the respondent No.2 but the same has not been taken into consideration and hence, the present petition.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, Sr. D.A.G., Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3, at this stage. The petitioners' counsel is directed to supply the copy of the petition to her.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the petitioners as well as learned State counsel and without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the veracity of the averments made in the petition and also with regard to the



sanctity of relationship or age of the petitioners, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent No.2-Senior Superintendent of Police Faridkot, District Faridkot to look into the matter and dispose of the representation dated 19.11.2024 (Annexure P-3) in accordance with law and also to take necessary step, if any, genuine threat to life and liberty of the petitioners is found. Though no endorsement/receipt qua representation (Annexure P-3) had been annexed with the present petition and a representation has been sent to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Faridkot only on 02.11.2024 i.e. after filing of the present petition.

6. A copy of this order along with copy of representation dated 19.11.2024 (Annexure P-3) be sent to respondent No.2, so as to enable him to do the needful expeditiously.

7. It is made clear that this order shall not be taken to protect the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them.

27.11.2024
Deepak Patwal

(MANISHA BATRA)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No