



110
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-31533-2024(O&M)
Date of decision: 18.12.2024

Dayanand

...Petitioner

VERSUS

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam (UHBVN) and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present:- Mr. Manish Mehta, Advocate, for the petitioner.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

1. The present writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* directing the respondents to grant gratuity and other retiral benefits to the petitioner by considering the date of appointment as 01.01.1987 instead of 02.09.1994.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that the petitioner had served a legal notice dated 23.09.2024 (Annexure P-3) to the respondents but the same has not been considered and decided till date. He further submitted that at this stage, he will be satisfied in case the aforesaid legal notice dated 23.09.2024 (Annexure P-3) is considered and decided by the respondents in accordance with law and in a time bound manner.

3. Notice of motion.



4. On the asking of the Court, Ms. Rajni Gupta, Additional Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents. She submitted that in view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner, she has no objection in case time bound direction is issued in this regard.

5. In view of the aforesaid facts and circumstances, without calling for reply from the respondents and without expressing anything on the merits of the case, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4/competent authority to consider and decide the legal notice dated 23.09.2024 (Annexure P-3) strictly in accordance with law and within a period of three months from today.

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

18.12.2024
rakesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No