



106

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP-11350-2024
DATE OF DECISION: 25.11.2024**

**PARWINDER SHARMA ALIAS BABBU SHARMA
...PETITIONER**

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHER ... RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. K. Singh, Advocate for the petitioner(s).
Mr. Jaspal Singh Guru, AAG, Punjab.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

This is a petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a direction to official respondents to release the petitioner on 8 weeks parole to the enable him to meet his old aged and ailing mother.

2. Notice of motion.

3. On the asking of Court, learned State Counsel appearing on advance notice, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

4. At the outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he will be satisfied, in case a direction is issued to respondent No.5-the Superintendent, Central Jail, Kapurthala to decide the application dated 04.11.2024 (Annexure P-1) within a time bound manner.

5. Without adverting to the merits of the case, the respondent No.5-the Superintendent, Central Jail, Kapurthala is directed to



consider and decide the application dated 04.11.2024 (Annexure P-1) by passing a speaking order in accordance with law within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

6. Petition stands disposed of in the aforesaid terms.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

25.11.2024
anuradha

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>