



**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-58701-2024

Date of decision: 10.12.2024

GURPREET SINGH AND ANR

....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANR

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. B.S. Bhalla, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Ankit Grewal, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Abhilasha Kainth, Advocate for
Mr. Shivender Pal Singh, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 of Cr.P.C., petitioners seek quashing of cross-case GD No. 23 dated 18.05.2024 registered under Sections 323, 324, 148, 149 of IPC (Annexure P-2) in FIR (Annexure P-1) and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of affidavit dated 13.11.2024 (Annexure P-4) executed between the parties. Details of the FIR (Annexure P-1) are as follows:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
38	14.05.2024	307, 341, 427, 336, 148, 149 of IPC and Section 323, 324 of IPC and 25 of Arms Act added later on.	Raja Sansi, District Amritsar Rural.

2. With the intervention of respectables and elderly people of the society, the parties have arrived at a settlement vide compromise deed dated 13.11.2024 (Annexure P-4), which is duly signed by them. The matter was referred to the Court below for recording of statements of the parties and to report with respect to genuineness of the compromise arrived at between the parties. Learned Additional Sessions Judge, Amritsar, vide report dated



CRM-M-58701-2024

2

09.12.2024 has apprised this Court that the compromise arrived at between the parties is genuine and without any pressure. The petitioners have also deposited cost of Rs.10,000/- in compliance of order dated 26.11.2024.

3. Respondent No. 2 is represented by his counsel and does not oppose the compromise.

4. In view of the report of the learned Additional Sessions Judge, Amritsar, and in view of the decision of the Hon'ble Supreme Court in “Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another”, 2012(4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and “Narinder Singh and Others Vs. State of Punjab and Another”, (2014) 6 SCC 466, this Court is of the opinion that no useful purpose can be served by keeping the criminal proceedings pending, since the complainant has himself compromised the dispute with the petitioners/ accused persons.

5. In the circumstances, the present petition is allowed. GD No. 23 dated 18.05.2024 under Sections 323, 324, 148, 149 of IPC, registered at Police Station Raja Sansi, District Amritsar Rural, Punjab, and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom, are hereby quashed on the basis of compromise qua the present petitioners.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

10.12.2024
Kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |