



**CM-3037-CWP-2024
CM-3038-CWP-2024
CM-3979-CWP-2024 in/and
CWP-28240-2023 & connected case**

1

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(111+274)

**CM-3037-CWP-2024
CM-3038-CWP-2024
CM-3979-CWP-2024 in/and
CWP-28240-2023
Date of Decision : October 16, 2024**

Rakesh Kumar

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

(2)

CWP-28963-2023

Bhupinder Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: None for the petitioner(s).

Ms. Shruti, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate, with
Mr. Abhishek Singla, Advocate,
Mr. B.P.S Thakur, Advocate and
Ms. Tanya Sehgal, Advocate, for respondents No. 5 to 13.

Mr. Kamaldeep S. Sidhu, Advocate,
for respondents No. 14 to 17.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

CM-3037-CWP-2024 in CWP-28240-2023

Present application has been filed for impleading the applicants
as interveners/respondents No. 14 to 17 in the present writ petition as they
are necessary party.



CM-3037-CWP-2024
CM-3038-CWP-2024
CM-3979-CWP-2024 in/and
CWP-28240-2023 & connected case

2

Keeping in view the averments made in the application, which are duly supported by an affidavit, the application is allowed. Applicants are impleaded as respondents No. 14 to 17 in the present writ petition and the amended memo of parties attached with the application is taken on record.

CM-3979-CWP-2024 in CWP-28240-2023

As prayed for, the application is allowed.

Annexures P-7 to P-9 are taken on record.

CWP-28240-2023 & connected case

1. In the present writ petition, the challenge is to the selection against 37 posts of Assistant Transport Officer to be filled on deputation in various Government Departments.

2. Learned counsel for the respondents submits that the grievance raised in the present writ petitions was also raised in another writ petition i.e. ***CWP No.6515 of 2024 titled as Tarlok Rai vs. State of Punjab and others, decided on 04.07.2024*** and the same has been dismissed by this Court keeping in view the order passed by the Division Bench of this Court in ***LPA No.789 of 2024 titled as Rakesh Kumar vs. State of Punjab and others, decided on 22.03.2024***.

3. No one has appeared on behalf of the petitioner(s).

4. Keeping in view the fact that similar writ petition has already been dismissed, it can be safely presumed that the petitioner(s) are not interested in pursuing the present writ petitions.

5. Both the writ petitions are dismissed for non-prosecution.



CM-3037-CWP-2024
CM-3038-CWP-2024
CM-3979-CWP-2024 in/and
CWP-28240-2023 & connected case **3**

6. Civil miscellaneous application pending if any, also stands disposed of.

7. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of other connected case.

October 16, 2024
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No